

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/775,652	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BIDNUR ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> MEHDI RASHIDIAN	<b>Art Unit</b> 2624	

**All Participants:**

(1) MEHDI RASHIDIAN.

(2) Mirut Dalal.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Samir Ahmed.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 29 December 2009

**Time:** 4:30 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Yes

Claims discussed:

8 and 14

Prior art documents discussed:

No

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*During telephonic interview with attorney of record Mr. Mirut Dalal (reg. No. 44052) claims 8 and 14 were discussed in order to overcome the art rejection and clearly identify inventive idea as claim 1 cites, attorney agreed with examiner's suggestions and authorized examiner to enter an examiner's amendment in order to expedite prosecution.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Mehdi Rashidian/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)